



identity

Magazine of the **British National Party**

September 2006 - Issue 70 - £2.40

Bigger & Better
Red, White & Blue 2006



September's News

» BBC Sanitise a Fishy Tale

In early August several national newspapers revealed that inland fisheries enforcement officers from the Environmental Agency patrolling river banks and lakes are now equipping with stab-proof body armour and handcuffs. This is because of the rising tension between Eastern European immigrants, who are stealing fish stocks, and resident anglers, with shots being fired in some cases, it was said.

The *Angling Times* said: "The scale of thefts by foreigners is absolutely horrific. It has become a major problem in every town and city." Areas particularly affected include the Thames and the river Nene in Cambridgeshire and Peterborough.

BBC TV news nationally and "Look East" carried reports but in both programmes managed to avoid mentioning that the fish stealers were foreign immigrants. The same distortion of the facts occurred in ITV's Anglia News programme.

» Foreign Labour Hurting British Workers

Amicus Trade Union has taken time off from supporting international Marxism and attacking the BNP to have a bout of common sense. It has not only recognised that cheap foreign labour does harm in the short run by holding down wages, but that it harms our economy in the long run by undermining the skills development of British workers.

The Union says there is already evidence that industries such as IT are seeing an influx of short-term workers from abroad at the expense of resident UK professionals.

The BNP supports Amicus in saying that we need action by the Home Office to protect the future of long term investments for skills development in the UK and to ensure that the work permit scheme is not abused.

Amicus says that the number of work permits granted for IT occupations over recent years has risen from 1,800 in 1995 to 30,000 in the last year, despite the IT workforce falling since 2001.

» Brussels to Decide on Crime and Punishment

On 22nd September a meeting of the EU Justice & Home Affairs Committee will take place to consider the present national veto on Criminal Justice & Home Affairs - although little has been said about it in the UK media as we go to press. It is an attempt to allow Brussels to decide what is a crime in Britain, what the punishment should be, and how our courts should be run.

Our Government and the Tory and Lib-Dem opposition, show no signs of opposing what will finally make the EU to all intents and purposes a state in its own right. Within it there will be no place for our 800-year Habeas Corpus or trial by independent jury which has existed for over a thousand years.

» Muslim Suspect Vote Riggers Charged

Three Labour Party Muslim men have been charged in connection with allegations of vote rigging during Peterborough City Council's 2004 elections. Former city councillor and past major, Mohammed Choudhary, Labour Party official Tariq Mahmood, and council candidate Maqbool Hussain, were arrested last month.

Other people who have been quizzed by detectives during investigations include former Peterborough mayor, Raja Akhtar and Central ward councillor Abdul Razaq.

Meanwhile up in Bradford a Conservative Muslim Councillor, Intkhab Alam, has claimed and pocketed nearly £12,000 in expenses whilst in jail for killing 20-year-old Christopher Benson of Morley when he ran into him with his mini-cab (it is

assumed it was accidental) and then tried to conceal his guilt. He got two years and four months for this, which is two months less than BNP activist Kevin Hughes received for arguing with an Iraqi asylum seeker.

» Pakistan Flag Flies High

On August 14th Pakistan celebrated its 59th anniversary of independence, with flags flying all over the country. One also flew over Rochdale Town Hall for a week, after being raised by the Labour mayor, Jean Hornby, who proudly said "it is a very special occasion."

The *Rochdale Observer* (which is still published in English) reported that Ghulam Shazad, chairman of the Association of Pakistani Graduates International, addressed the crowd before the Pakistani national anthem was played. A free celebration dinner was also held at Castlemere Community Centre to mark the special day.

» £1bn For Asylum Legal Aid

More than a billion pounds of taxpayers' money has been spent on legal aid in immigration and asylum cases in the past decade, according to latest Government figures.

In that period the amount provided for the cases has nearly quadrupled, from £29 million in 1996-7 to £107.3million in 2005-6.

identity

Editor: John Bean
Design: Mark Collett
September 2006
Public reference:
ISSN 1469-7971
Subscriptions Dept:
PO Box 87, Ossett,
Wakefield, WF5 8WN
Tel: 0870 75 73 267.

Please note that we receive more material than we can publish. Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format:
PO Box 97, Newmarket,
Suffolk CB8 1WT.
Email: identity@bnp.org.uk

Muslim Impudence

» We have no comment to make on the British-born Muslims who have been charged with various offences relating to an alleged plot to blow up a number of passenger aircraft bound for the United States. Nor do we say that if they should be found guilty that this means that all Muslims living in Britain are potential terrorists. But we do say that if only one per cent are capable of terrorist acts (a figure quoted by several Muslim leaders) then taking the *minimum* figure of the number of Muslims living in the UK of 1.6 million, this means that we have 16,000 people in our midst capable of terrorism. Remember that the police have said that they are currently investigating over 70 terrorist plots involving over 100 suspected Islamic terrorists.

With this in mind one would think that the Muslim community in the present situation would decide to keep a low profile; in the manner that practising Christians do in Saudi Arabia, Pakistan and, in varying degrees, in most other Muslim-dominated countries. But no, such is the contempt that their religion holds for non-believers that Muslim leaders in Britain, including so-called moderates, are telling our Government that it must alter its policies so that the militant 1 per cent will no longer take up terrorism against us.

Dictate Foreign Policy

Immediately following the initial arrest of the Muslims alleged to have been plotting the attacks on passenger planes, British Muslim groups jointly wrote to the Prime Minister calling for "urgent" changes to UK foreign policy. Their letter included this passage:

"To combat terror the government has focused extensively on domestic legislation. While some of this will have an impact, the government must not ignore the role of its foreign policy. The debacle of Iraq and now the failure to do more to secure an immediate end to the attacks on civilians in the Middle East not only increases the risk to ordinary people in that region, it is also ammunition to extremists who threaten us all.

"...This message is a global one. We urge the Prime Minister to redouble his efforts to tackle terror and extremism and change our foreign policy..."

Irrespective of the fact that the BNP can find some sympathy for what they say on Iraq, this does not excuse the impudence of their approach. Hindu, Sikh or West Indian communities do not try to tell our Government what its foreign policy should be. Incidentally, nor do the Hindus, Sikhs or Buddhists in our midst demand - as Muslims are now doing - that their main religious festivals should become British public holidays.

Trying to Dictate on Immigration

Apart from the current issue of terrorism, Muslim groups are also increasingly expressing their views on what our



immigration policy should be. For example, last month Habib Rahman, the chief executive of the Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants, called for an amnesty for illegal immigrants already in Britain, a group that he said could amount to 570,000 people. "At the current rate and cost of removals, it could take at least another decade and £4.7 billion to remove everyone," he said. "We call on the Government to reconsider the question for regularisation."

Quite frankly as many of the 570,000 are of his persuasion £4.7 billion would be money well spent.

It is blatantly obvious that there are some sections (certainly not all) of the Muslim community who think they can blackmail the Government into changing tack on several aspects of policy by threatening violence unless it does so. "Do as we say, or the extremists will plant more bombs," is not a form of argument acceptable in a democracy.

Finally, if readers would like to see further into the mind-set of some of the younger people in the Muslim community we recommend that they visit the IslamicAwakening.Com website. Here are extracts from a letter recently posted up by one Allahu Akbar.

"Why should we leave? We are British nationals and they know it. Before I am 50 years old I will have 10 to 15 children and they are scared of it. I will overshadow all of my non-muslim neighbours.

"Why should we leave? Allah is our protector and will help us if we expand Islam in this land. They should leave, cause they are minorities and they have 20 years to pack and leave if they don't like the new Islamic Britain."

You have been warned. And the only Party that will do anything about it is the BNP.

John Bean



Facing The End of Liberalism

» Nick Griffin explains how the BNP is being restructured to take advantage of the great changes now coming about as political and economic power swings away from liberalism. He outlines how power will be devolved from the elected leadership towards the 'Voting Members' - the BNP's activists and key financial supporters.

» 2006 is becoming a nightmare for liberals. Day after day they've had to watch the public taking in Islamic terror plots, rising unemployment and floods of Poles. Then there's been the U-turn on multiculturalism from everyone to Trevor Philips to George Aligiah. Yesterday's 'dream' is now widely acknowledged as a disastrous failure.

Even more important than the anguished naval gazing of the chatterati was the stunning lecture by Rear-Admiral Chris Parry to military and business leaders, in which the head of the MoD's main 'blue-skies think-tank' warned that mass immigration threatens a civilisational collapse on a par with the end of the Roman Empire. It's not a happy time for liberals.

And it would be even unhappier if they understood the full significance of several other pieces of apparently obscure energy news items.

The first was the fifth conference of the Association for the Study of Peak Oil, at the start of August. A highly qualified oil industry insider revealed that the recent fall in production figures from Saudi Arabia is the result of a collapse in production from the giant Gwahar field.

For some years now Gwahar has been kept pumping more oil than anywhere else on the planet only by dint of millions of gallons of seawater

being pumped into the rocks to force oil to the surface. This practice is, however, known to inflict serious long-term damage on oil wells, and the industry insider maintains that the impact is now being felt in Gwahar, with production down from 5.5 million barrels per day to just 3 million bpd.

While increased output from smaller Saudi fields is concealing the full extent of the decline, the end of Gwahar as kingpin of global oil production signals the onset of Peak Oil. This isn't just a matter of dearer petrol, the entire global economy is built on the cheap energy (one barrel of oil is equivalent to 20,000 hours of human labour), food and chemicals that have come from oil.

A few days later, another news report provided the proof that Peak Oil has moved beyond conferences of geologists and into the mainstream. The United States has announced a crash programme for turning coal into synthetic fuel oil. The first target is to end the reliance of the American military on oil, switching to home produced coal to provide the fuel not just for tanks and trucks but also for the US airforce. The longer term aim is to provide at least 10% of US energy from coal-oil by 2025.

Only two states in the world have ever been forced down the coal-oil road before - war-time Germany and

apartheid-era South Africa. Coal-oil is expensive and energy inefficient. It is the stuff of siege economies, not normality.

But that is the whole point: 'Normality' is over. The end of cheap oil will also end everything that flowed from it. Social structures and political habits that are regarded as natural and permanent will rapidly be revealed as mere side-effects of the totally unnatural and temporary existence of more cheap energy than we really knew what to do with.

PAINFUL ADJUSTMENT

Unnoticed even by the people who are, belatedly, taking the epochal challenge seriously, is the likelihood that the end of perpetual oil-fuelled growth will make the debt-based issuing of currency unviable. That alone spells several decades of painful adjustment to a new paradigm, the end of growth, the end of globalism and internationalism, the end of liberalism. And it spells the end to any lingering hopes the liberal Establishment may have of being able to buy their way out of the ethno-religious chaos foreseen by Rear-Admiral Parry's think-tank.

As one set of ideas breaks on the rocks of changed economic and social realities, so the space is emerging for fresh ones - ours. The way is opening





to a time of renaissance for nationalist ideas. And to a time of political potential for the nationalist alternative to a system on the verge of going down in drastic failure.

All of which in turn means that we as a party are entering a time of danger. First, and most obviously, we must expect ever more frantic efforts to stop us.

The purpose of this article is to address another set of potential problems - those liable to emerge as a result of rapid growth. There is no need to rehearse the dangers of being 'swamped' by an ideologically incoherent wave of naive new recruits. Rather, this article deals with what we're doing to guard against it.

The proposals that follow are the product of extensive debate. It is freely acknowledged that the proposed new system is not perfect - no human system ever can be. But it certainly is better than the elective dictatorship we have at present, which will both scare off some and - because it is so fundamentally un-British - guarantee highly disruptive challenges to the present system in the future unless we change it.

A start was made last November with the first annual conference. The qualifications for attending (more than ten years' membership, organisers and fundholders, and major donors)

were a one-off and will not suffice in future, not least because they would institutionalise disruptive competition for qualifying positions.

One of the motions passed by a huge majority at this conference instructed the leadership to design a system which would allow phased replacement of elective dictatorship by a true meritocracy. A counter-motion proposing a liberal 'one-man, one-vote' alternative was overwhelmingly rejected. The coming changes outlined in this article are the result of those decisions.

The second stage in the phased move to meritocracy was the first BNP Summer School, held early this summer. The next such event will tie together both the annual conference and further education and training, in a weekend in Blackpool on the weekend of the 24th -25th November.

This will also be final stage of the pre-launch of the new Voting Membership system. Voting Membership will be an additional category of membership, with extra rights for those who earn them. There will be no change to the existing rights of general members.

FLEXIBLE

Any member of two years will be entitled to register to become a Voting Member. Applicants will spend one year as probationary VMs, during which time they will be entitled to attend VM meetings but will not be allowed to vote. All VMs will commit themselves to a set amount of activity. This will normally be carried out on a monthly basis, but will be flexible. For example, someone who has to go abroad to work for six months, or who has a serious accident, will be able to catch up on their activity quota, perhaps by giving the party a burst of full-time activity during an important by-election.

Exactly how much activity is required will be one of the questions debated and decided at the 2nd Annual Conference. It will be a minimum figure, a level which many activists regularly exceed already. We are realistic, and want a party based on hard-working people who also fulfil their duties to their families and employers, and who put in a sustainable amount of effort into the Cause, rather than super-human

fanatics who burn themselves out. Equally, it would be grotesquely unfair on the hardcore of real activists who do the bulk of the work to allow someone to become a Voting Member on the strength of their going out to leaflet once every couple of months as long as it's sunny and there's no football on TV.

Activism can be in different fields; VMs will include not just street activists but also the elderly person who is past selling *Freedom* door-to-door, but who gets pro-BNP letters published in the local paper, or who stamps and stuffs all the branch bulletins. The system will have to be kept as simple as possible, but everyone who contributes meaningfully will be eligible.

Length of service and a fair degree of activism, while very important, are not however enough. Nationalism is based on an understanding of the realities of human nature. We are not egalitarians who believe that "all should have prizes". There are some people who, while capable of steady activism, are unsuited to the responsibility of being the people who decide the future direction of a would-be revolutionary party like the BNP. Not least, we are creating a system in which that power and privilege is in the hands of people who are genuine nationalists.

True, someone can pretend to believe something they are told to believe, but there is a strong tendency for individuals to take on board the value system of the larger group with which they are involved - provided that value system is laid out and explained to them. With so much crankitude, civic liberalism, extremist lunacy and sloppy thinking sloshing all around the internet in particular, it is more important than ever that the members who will increasingly decide the direction of the party are educated in genuine nationalism, simultaneously radical and sensible.

Thus VM status will only be granted to those who, in addition to fulfilling the other requirements, also make the effort to attend education and training (in both practical and ideological matters) events. These will be rolled out on a regional basis in due course, but the creation of a team of Regional Political Officers will take time, so for now constructive attendance at the Summer School, or the full day of training on the day before this



autumn's Annual Conference, will suffice.

At present, the core reading for VMs involves a very limited number of particularly useful books. As we strengthen our training system these will increasingly be replaced by purpose-written pamphlets and audio recordings of our own, which will not only be more targeted, but also enable us to cover a wider range of subjects at minimal cost.

This brings us to the final qualification - a measure of financial commitment. This is controversial, but no-one who wants and deserves to be a Voting Member will be stopped from doing so because they are poor. We will see how we are going to ensure that shortly, but first let us look at why such a qualification is required.

The starting point for anyone who doesn't automatically grasp the connection between large sums of money and political power is to look at the auditor's report attached to the BNP's full accounts published on the Electoral Commission's website. "Whilst the accounts have been prepared on the basis that the party is a going concern, given the fact that for the last two years the party has incurred a deficit and that the balance sheet is now in deficit, there is an element of doubt as to its ability to continue as a going concern." (Frank Hogarth BA, FCA, Chartered Accountant/Auditor).

The hard truth is that the auditor was being delicate. I don't have to be, so here's the plain, no-holds-barred truth: We've cut our expenditure to the very bone, any further and it will create crippling inefficiencies which will make our present imperfections pale into insignificance; we milk our regular donors dry; the more work we do to create new units, the more individual donors give locally instead of sending donations to Head Office.

The situation is unsustainable. We are not millions of pounds in debt like our political rivals, but neither can we get overdrafts or, in due course, great dollops of taxpayers' money via the state funding of political parties.

Unless we increase our regular, reliable income, the British National Party faces a simple and disastrous choice: Either cut back on the things we do and so slash our ability to grow and to keep on winning, or continue to do what we need to do and go bankrupt

next summer, unless some Fairy Godmother gives us a fortune, or we shift for ourselves and increase our regular income substantially.

This is why, having held them steady for years now, we have been forced to increase the general membership fees. Some of this money will help the party centre, but the £5 per head increase for people in work will more often than not go to local units, since one of Treasury's recent improvements is the ability to manage a new system whereby local units can collect membership subscriptions, pay the money in to their accounts, and keep £5 of each full membership for their own funds. We've done this because it isn't just the party centre that needs more money if it is to do its job properly, local branches and groups working proper target wards also need more funds.

FRONTLINE

It is also why we have made a huge effort to cut back on expenditure on 'back-room' party staff, and put more emphasis on front line workers, principally in Group Development and Group Support, whose efforts will help to build the organisation at local and regional level and thus increase membership and income.

Such measures would in all probability allow us to mark time and to

carry on at the level we are now. But while that level is streets ahead of the past norm for nationalist organisations in Britain, it isn't good enough. We've barely scratched the surface of our ambitions for the future, and if we're not prepared collectively to come out of our comfort zone we won't be able to fulfil that potential. With the combined energy/ethnic crisis growing more menacing to the Old Order by the day, we must be in the position to press ahead with rapid organisational progress without risking financial overreach and collapse.

Naturally, we do not yet know how many Voting Members we will get in the first year or three. After all, there is no particular reason why any particular normal member, happy with the direction of the party and making a useful contribution in their own way, should even bother to go the extra mile to become a Voting Member. All members will retain the right to vote in leadership elections and their other current membership rights; the power and influence over policy decisions and the like which is to be shifted steadily towards the Voting Members is being devolved from the elected leadership, not taken from the general membership.

But, for the sake of argument, let us assume that, once the new system is fully operational, 1,000 people become Voting Members. If the flat





monthly dues payment for Voting Membership is £5 per month (i.e. far less than the cost of a daily paper, one pint a week or even a slight cigarette habit), then it would produce an income of £5,000 per month.

For a party of our size that may not sound like very much, but the key thing is that this income will be regular and reliable, thereby allowing Treasury to budget for basic essentials, rather than - as at present - having to rely on variables such as donations and new membership money, which are dangerously vulnerable to shifts in public opinion, media coverage and other factors beyond our control.

And, of course, the realistic medium-term aim is to build to having far more than a mere 1,000 VMs. With a perfectly attainable (especially as the Peak Oil/Clash of Civilisations crisis really bites) base of 10,000 Voting Members, we would have not only a vast amount of guaranteed activism and a firm ideological foundation for our fast-growing organisation, but also a steady extra central income of £50,000 per month. Enough to run a serious national and regional organisation which would in turn fuel further rapid and sustainable growth. Enough to win.

Having said which, there are some people who already display a larger amount of financial commitment than ordinary members. It is impossible to

be an effective councillor, branch or group Organiser or Fund-holder without spending far more than £5 a month on phone calls, stamps, petrol and the sheer time and effort it takes to perform such duties properly.

It is therefore my belief - although it is not shared by everyone who has debated the issue so far - that these people should be exempt from the monthly dues side of VM requirements. There is, furthermore, a possibility for Branches to have a new post of Fund Raiser, who when attaining a monthly target income from sources outside the party (car-boot sales, door-to-door *Freedom* rounds, etc) would also be exempt from VM dues.

LOGICAL?

Should employed VMs pay more each month than the unemployed? Should the amount be £10 a month for those in work? It's logical, but the unemployed can make money through paper sales, while many employed people are these days in such low-paid jobs that they may well have very little disposable income, as well as less time to get out selling papers. Should contributions be assessed - as the SWP does - on a sliding scale based on income? How many *Freedom*s does someone with no spare income at all have to sell each month to qualify

as a VM?

All such questions will be decided at the November conference, but the overall structure of the Voting Membership system is not up for debate. The BNP needs it. It won't wait. It will be in force for the Annual Conference. And it will be in operation properly from 1st January next year.

If anyone isn't happy with that then they don't have to become Voting Members. And anyone petty enough to resent other people who are prepared to make some formal commitments having a greater say over the direction of the BNP than those who can't be bothered can go and find themselves another, less serious, home.

There's cyberspace for the 'hard-liners' and cranks who want to go nowhere, and UKIP for the 'moderates' who want to go round and round in circles.

For the vast majority who want to see real progress, and who understand that such progress doesn't come without effort and sacrifice, there's the BNP; run increasingly not just by an elected leadership and the very best team available, but also steered, and indeed truly owned, by the hardest working, most know-ledgeable and most committed of its rank-and-file activist members. Those who want to see us fail will squeal like stuck pigs against this development. Let them squeal, we've got work to do.

JOHN BEAN

In this fascinating DVD British National Party Chairman Nick Griffin interviews one of the most respected and experienced leaders of British Nationalism. John Bean takes the viewer on an incredible journey back in time to the earliest days of the first British National Party. John reveals some startling memories of his teenage ventures into politics, and describes the long and winding path which led him to become editor of the current BNP's magazine *Identity*. With it's combination of historical reminiscences and in-depth ideology this DVD is a must see for any British Nationalist.

£17 inc p&p

A TRUE NATIONALIST

Available NOW from BNPTv, PO Box 3, Burnley, Lancashire
Please make cheques payable to 'British National Party'



The Magpie Society

» David Hamilton reveals some prescient remarks made by Winston Churchill during his long life on the folly of mass immigration and the nature of the Islamic religion

» Sir Winston Churchill remarked on immigration to Sir Hugh Foot, Governor of Jamaica (1951-1957), "It would be a Magpie society: that would never do." (Layton-Henry, *The Politics of Immigration*. 1992. p31).

Sir Winston was different from the unworldly academics who theorise unworkable plans for a multiethnic Utopia. His views on ethnicity are of historical importance and ignoring them gives a false picture of him. He is a forerunner of what we call Modern Nationalism and believed in his own people and that this should be expressed democratically.

He was a brave and practical man who did not go to university but to the Royal Military Academy at Sandhurst and gained a commission in the Fourth Hussars. His life story has, of course, been well covered, certainly as a World War II leader, but it is worth recording some of the earlier highlights here.

As a young man he served under the iconic Lord Kitchener in the Sudan campaign in 1898, when the British avenged the murder of General Gordon.

He was a war correspondent during the Boer War, was captured, held prisoner and escaped. He was in the relief of Ladysmith. As Home Secretary in 1911 he personally attended The Siege of Sidney Street in East London, took control and gave the order to let the building burn and not rescue the anarchists inside.

As First Lord at the Admiralty in 1915 he was blamed for the Dardanelles campaign and demoted to Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster. In one of the most heroic acts of a British politician he resigned office after six months, rejoined the army and commanded a battalion of the Royal Scots Fusiliers as a Colonel on the Western Front until May 1916.

CHURCHILL ON MUSLIMS

He was not taken in by the liberal view that peace is the natural state of man until it breaks down into conflict. He knew that different races compete with each other for power and territory. He also knew the truth of sub-Saharan slavery. In *"The River War"* (Eyre & Spottiswood 1933) he wrote: "The qualities of mongrels are rarely admirable, and the mixture of the Arab and Negro types has produced a debased and cruel breed, more shocking because they are more intelligent than primitive savages. The stronger race soon began to prey upon the simple aboriginals... But all, without exception were hunters of men. To the great slave-market at Jeddah a continual stream of Negro captives has flowed for hundreds of years. The invention



of gunpowder and the adoption by the Arabs of firearms facilitated the traffic by placing the ignorant negroes at a further disadvantage. Thus the situation in the Sudan for several centuries may be summed up as follows: "The dominant race of Arab invaders was unceasingly spreading its blood, religion, customs, and language among the black aboriginal population, and at the same time it harried and enslaved them."

In the first edition he also expressed thoughts that would now get him prosecuted by our totalitarian Government for inciting racial hatred: "Individual Muslims may show splendid qualities, but the influence of the religion paralyses the social development of those who follow it.

"How dreadful are the curses which Mohammedanism lays on its votaries! Besides the fanatical frenzy, which is as dangerous in a man as hydrophobia in a dog, there is this fearful fatalistic apathy. The fact that in Mohammedan law every woman must belong to some man as his absolute property, either as a child, a wife, or a concubine, must delay the final extinction of slavery until the faith of

Lord Kitchener





Islam has ceased to be a great power among men.

"Individual Muslims may show splendid qualities but the influence of the religion paralyses the social development of those who follow it. No stronger retrograde force exists in the world. Far from being moribund, Mohammedanism is a militant and proselytizing faith. It has already spread throughout Central Africa, raising fearless warriors at every step; and were it not that Christianity sheltered it the civilisation of modern Europe might fall as fell the civilisation of ancient Rome." (Longmans 1899. Vol.11. pp. 248-50)

In his St. George's Day address of 1933 he warned of the type who were taking over our political and intellectual life: "The worst difficulties from which we suffer do not come from without. They come from within. They do not come from the cottages of the wage earners. They come from a peculiar type of brainy people always found in our country who, if they add something to the culture, take much from its strength. Our difficulties come from the mood of unwarrantable self-abasement into which we have been cast by a powerful section of our own intellectuals. They come from the acceptance of defeatist doctrines by a large portion of our politicians. But what have they to offer but a vague internationalism, a squalid materialism, and the promise of impossible utopias?"

Mr. Churchill felt himself part of something enduring which gives a sense of security. His tribute to the Royal Marines in 1936, "Those who do not think of the future are unworthy of their ancestors." He told the Royal Albert Hall in 1931, "We gave India a civilisation, far above anything they could possibly have achieved themselves, or could possibly maintain." He admired Jewish people and described them as "the most formidable and the most remarkable race in the world." This is part of his racial outlook (Robert Harris, *Spectator* 16/4/1994).

He was a renowned wit. During the war a black official of the Colonial Office had been stopped dining at a London club when American officers used it. It was brought to Mr Churchill's attention. He quipped, "That's alright. Tell him to take a banjo they will think he is one of the band" (The Diaries of Alexander Cadogan. 1938-45, for

13/10/1942). Ian Gilmour then owner and editor of the *Spectator* relates that just before he stood down because of ill-health, Mr Churchill told him "It (immigration) is the most important subject facing this country, but I can not get any of my ministers to take any notice." (*Inside Right. Quartet*.1977).

There were two who did notice. Oliver Lyttleton, Secretary of State for the Colonies, "wanted to impose a deposit of £500 on immigrants "if there is to be any means of controlling the flow of coloured people who come here largely to enjoy the benefits of the welfare state." The fifth Marquess of Salisbury, Leader of the House of Lords, wrote to Lord Swinton in March 1954, "with the general improvement with methods of transportation, the flow increases. Indeed, if something is not done to check it now, I should not be at all surprised if the problem became quite unmanageable in twenty or thirty years time." However, he was mainly surrounded by people with no care for the future.

Even at 78, worn out after the war, Churchill tried to deal with open-door immigration. The first Cabinet discussion of his Government was on 25th November, 1952, when he asked if "the Post Office were employing large numbers of coloured workers. If so, there was some risk that difficult social problems would be created". He then went into a deeper view of the situation, and "raised the whole issue...of whether coloured subjects of the Commonwealth and Empire should be admitted to the country from now on." The Postmaster-General Lord De la Warr explained that the Post Office trades unions "raised no objection to the employment of coloured people in basic grades." (CC100 (52)8 Cabinet Conclusions)

In *Eminent Churchillians* Andrew Roberts quotes from private interviews which give an insight into the people surrounding Mr.Churchill. "A Minister closely involved in the decision-making process: 'In fact...we were just stalling and hoping for the best'... One of Churchill's private secretaries: 'at that time it seemed a very good idea to get bus conductors and stuff' ... a junior minister: 'it was becoming hard to find somebody to carry your bags at the station'."

Then Tragedy arrived. On the 27th of June 1953 Sir Winston suffered a stroke that left him paralysed down the left side. Even before this he was in decline after the strain of the Second World War and was not up to decisive action. In his diaries of the times Sir John Colville, his Private Secretary noted, "He is getting tired and visibly ageing. He finds it hard to compose a speech and ideas no longer flow." (*The Fringes of power* p654)

COMMONWEALTH APPEASERS

After struggling through the 1930s with appeasers of Hitler he was now surrounded by appeasers of Commonwealth leaders. In January 1954 Home Secretary Maxwell Fyfe reported on the findings of the Home Office "Working party on the Social and Economic Problems Arising from the Growing Influx into the United Kingdom of Coloured Workers", which had deliberated for 13 months. He stated "the unskilled workers who form the majority are difficult to place because on the whole they are physically unsuited to heavy manual work..."

In March 1954 Sir David Maxwell Fyfe told Cabinet,

Maxwell Fyfe





"that large numbers of coloured people are living on National Assistance" and that "coloured landlords by their conduct are making life difficult for white people living in the same building or area...the result is that white people leave and the accommodation is then converted to furnished lettings for coloured people, with serious overcrowding and exploitation."

In a Cabinet memorandum of 8 March Maxwell Fyfe noted "serious difficulties involved in contemplating action which would undoubtedly land the Government in some political controversy."

In Cabinet in October 1954 Churchill told Maxwell Fyfe, "that the problems arising from the immigration of coloured people required urgent and serious consideration." Maxwell-Fyfe emphasised that there were no powers to prevent these people entering no matter how much the number may increase.

Only Britain allowed all Commonwealth citizens automatic entry. Maxwell Fyfe "did not believe that the problem had yet assumed sufficient proportions to justify legislation which would involve a rehearsal of traditional practice and would antagonise liberal opinion." But Mr Churchill foresaw: "The rapid improvement in communications was likely to lead to the continuing increase in the number of coloured people coming to this country, and their presence here would sooner or later come to be resented by large sections of the British people." However, he did not think, "the problem had



assumed sufficient proportions to enable the Government to take adequate counter-measures." Mr. Churchill asked his staff to find out about difficulties in Lambeth, Brixton and Cardiff.

On the 16th of March 1955, just three weeks before he stood down because of his health, the Cabinet discussed immigration again. They agreed that a discussion at the Central Council of Conservative Associations as "a useful opportunity to ventilate the conflicting views which are held on this subject."

If Winston Churchill had been healthy in the 1950s we should not now be being dispossessed by foreigners. But how ludicrous it would have been for the Utopians - the Marxists and Globalisers - to shout at him in the House of Commons "Fascist" or "Nazi"!

First BNP Councillor in Wales

» Our North Wales correspondent Bill Murray reminds readers that spokesmen from both the Welsh Assembly and Plaid Cymru recently said that the British National Party have "no place in Wales, would not grow in Wales and would never have a councillor in Wales". To which Bill responded: "We are growing by the week and as for gaining a councillor in Wales watch this space!"

Bill hopes they have been watching this space for the following announcement in the form of a short letter sent out to the electorate of Rhewl Mostyn, Flintshire by Councillor Mike Howard.

Dear Resident

At the Council Elections I was elected by local support to represent the community, and I hope that I have done this to your satisfaction. Working together we have improved village life. My reason for writing to you is as follows:

My conscience will no longer allow me to support Government Policies. Jobs, Pensions, Health Service, law and Order, Immigration, to name but a few that are sorely neglected. For example

- Pensions should be linked to earnings now.
- The 5 Billion that Gordon Brown takes from private Pensions annually should cease now.
- Pensioners should not have to sell their home to fund Nursing Home Care.

Accordingly I have decided to support the British National

Party. Not to be confused with the National Front, which is a militant organisation. I have enclosed a leaflet that will illustrate all the points that I am sure are dear to you and your families.

So join me and support this party, which will in turn improve your quality of life.

Counselling for your rights

Mike Howard

Your councillor Mike Howard

Mike Howard was a former Independent Councillor, but had supported many aspects of the old Labour Party. He is now the first BNP Councillor in Wales

Fair Judgement?

» *Derek Adams of Manchester says that the ridiculous decision by Appeal Court judges in the case of Arthur Redfearn, who was fighting his sacking by his employers Serco on the grounds of his becoming a BNP councillor, is yet another example of how corrupt and politicised our judiciary has become.*

» Arthur was employed for many years to drive special needs pupils to school. Over the years he drove his bus without complaint ferrying many children, including those from ethnic minorities.

Then all hell broke loose. Arthur was elected as a BNP councillor and all his years of exemplary service suddenly counted for nothing, he was sacked simply for daring to get himself elected for the BNP!

Although his former employers could find no examples of misconduct arising during Arthur's time driving for them, nor indeed could they find any instances of racism, they used the crazy argument that they had to sack him on "Health and Safety grounds." The reason Arthur would now be a liability, according to Serco, was that if anti-BNP campaigners like the Unite Against Fascism mob or the Socialist Workers Party targeted the bus that he was driving, this would put his passengers at risk.

MOB RULE

As stated, this is a ridiculous decision because it allows mob rule to prevail and it flies in the face of what British justice should be about.

If this politically motivated judgement is not overturned it could have far reaching consequences never even imagined by the imbeciles responsible for making it.

It could, if used by the owner of a coach firm for instance, be used to bar ethnic minorities from being considered for employment within his company on much the same grounds as it has been used against BNP members.

One example of this which immediately springs to mind is that if a company wished to employ only white workers, they could do so according to this judgement, because to employ staff from ethnic minorities could put their clients and other employees at risk from hostile attacks from Ku Klux Klan members or Combat 18 thugs. A Publican could, using the same ruling, decide not to admit non-whites into his establishment just in case he was targeted by some other white supremacist organisation, and on "Health and Safety grounds" did not wish to take the risk of putting his customers and staff at risk.

Surely now all scientists working at universities and whose research involves vivisection must be dismissed on "Health and Safety grounds" - just in case they attract an adverse reaction from animal rights extremists which would obviously put



their students in danger.

If these examples sound a little bit far fetched then they are meant to! They are meant to highlight the stupidity of the "educated idiots" who made the decision in the case of Arthur Redfearn. They are meant to reveal their unashamed bias and hypocrisy, indeed their utter contempt for the very justice they are charged with upholding.

FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT

Serco should not be allowed to get away with sacking an employee on the basis of his political beliefs, and the judiciary of this once proud nation should be upholding the rights of all British citizens to support which ever political party their allegiance lies with, no matter which one that happens to be.

The Judges who made this mistake are not fit to judge dogs at a village fete, let alone the decent hard-working people who make up the membership of the British National Party, which is the only true patriotic party this country has left.

Arthur Redfearn is indeed a hero and deserves our continued support, for it is people like him who will play a major part in the winning back of our lost freedoms and traditions, stolen from us by the liberal left elite who are intent on destroying our nation.



UNFAIRLY SACKED - Arthur Redfearn



» A sea of the banners and flags representing the British family of nations met us as we drove onto the RWB camp site. It was a truly magnificent show of our collective BNP defiance in the face of Establishment hostility. We hurriedly set up camp and then, eager to meet with old friends and to make new ones, we set off into the main arena. The blustery grey skies over Lancashire were shot through by shafts of sunlight streaming over the magnificent Pendle Hill, a perfect backdrop.

We bumped straight into friends from our village who had been on site since the Wednesday evening, in fact some of the newer arrivals thought they were left overs from RWB 2005. It shows our people's eagerness to help out and become involved in the main Nationalist event of the calendar.

The entire party organisation is all down to effort and it is fair to say that the Red, White and Blue festival couldn't have happened without the incredible hard work of two people - Nick Cass and his wife Suzy. Without these two selfless heroes giving up so much of their time and showing so much commitment it is doubtful this event would be the amazing success story it has become. We all owe them a massive and sincere 'Thank you'. They, and their excellent dedicated team, are truly amazing people.

Once in the arena it was difficult to know where to start, there was simply so much to see and do. Our daughter decided to head for the trampoline, the bouncy castle, the slide and the pool, and for the youngsters that was just for starters, indeed we saw very little of her that day. The RWB has to be without doubt the safest place in the country, a gathering place of traditional British values, a place where everyone looks after one another, indeed one banner proclaimed to the world "Join Britain's biggest Family, the BNP", this superb Nationalist family dedication was to be proven to us first hand before the day was much older.

A stroll around the various regional stalls and marquees showed what a glorious nation the British are. All our people were represented, The Welsh (who had some superb shirts) the Irish, the Scots (who provided a wonderful piper) and the English, in short the W.I.S.E. Our accents fair bounced around field with Ulstermen, Yorkshire folk and Geordies shaking hands and talking politics with Lincolnshire lads, Norfolk lasses and Londoners. Our hosts the Lancastrians nattered with West country folk and a gent in a City of Cork shirt said in his wonderful Irish brogue, "I wouldn't miss the RWB for the world."

This is our diversity, this is what we choose to embrace, and this is what we will continue to defend and build upon. As more and more of us come together each year, the RWB will become a focal point where we show the country and the world just how our movement is rapidly growing and what the British people are made up of.

The political tent was, as ever, a revelation. Jonathan Bowden and Dave Jones hosted the mock press interviews where BNP members could be grilled as if they were on News Night! This provided members with much needed training in dealing with the media - and provided the audience with a lot of entertainment.

This was followed by rousing political speeches. Representatives from every region spoke about their local success and gave the audience a taste of how well the party is growing nationally. This was brought to thumping

conclusion with a defiant speech by Mark Collett in which he spoke of the importance of the holding onto our fundamental rights of freedom of speech in the face of political oppression. This was followed by political question time in which party Elections Officer Eddy Butler hosted a panel of BNP officials led by Nick Griffin as they debated important issues raised by audience. This was followed by the ever popular foreign speakers.

If the mind was expanded with politics then waistlines certainly were with the food on display. The Leicester stall was busy, nay, at times hectic. The cheeses were superb; the pork pies were melt in the mouth, and the conversation excellent. As one wide Cockney accent proclaimed, "This Stilton is top drawah, you just carry on darling, I'll catch up wiv you and the kids later, much later".

Nearby our Blackpool branch's stall thronged with children and adults alike buying up bag after bag of traditional sea side rock with the legend 'BNP' running through it. It was pointed out by one customer that, "BNP runs through all of us much the same way." And for the third time that day the word 'family' was used. There really is a sense of continuity with us, a direct living link with all that is good and honest from our past.

There was a dog show, a crèche for the children, a disco, helicopter rides, and when you have Superman on site with free legal advice then you know you're on a winner. The Excalibur stall was, as always, popular with a grand range of shirts some old favourites and as ever, new stuff, and for the discerning reader an absolute wealth of educational Nationalist books. The ever knowledgeable Chris Beverley answered every enquiry with a well

» Keighley activist Fra
from the biggest and b





informed aside about all his wares.

For members and supporters who like me are 'no stranger to the glass' then the West Country stall had it all, traditional ciders, meads and beers, there to savour not to abuse. The senses were nothing short of assailed with all that was going on, the friendliness and camaraderie was a joy to behold. It was just

about then that disaster struck. Our

tearful daughter re-appeared and had lost her mobile

phone. What chance was there of finding it among

three thousand plus? One call to the lost mobile asking

any finder to hand it in to our Security team was as

much as we could think of but... within

minutes my phone rang and the lost mobile had been

handed in. The result was

one happy little girl

and

two parents proud as

punch at belonging to the most

honest, decent political breed in the entire

country. We never did discover who handed

the phone in but thanks a thousand times over.

As I said our speakers in the Political tent provided the

brains at the RWB but the Keighley tug of war team

certainly provided the brawn, pulling the Security team half

way across the county. Also hailing from Keighley the Rock Band played a fantastic set, an extremely talented set of lads, well mannered both on and off stage, they are an asset to the RWB, this was their second year and I hope they come back next year and give us a hat trick. Thanks lads, Sweet Home Alabama had me fracturing my vocal chords and one or two sets of nearby eardrums, sorry!

And whilst the massively talented Doc Edwards managed to glide over the ivories and play the classical side of our rich and varied culture, Frank and Colin hit the lump in the throat side with their wonderful blend of folksy humour, tinged with the serious edge of what we, the BNP, are all about, fighting the terrible injustice put upon the British people. There was a superb Frank Sinatra/Robbie Williams tribute singer and more other great entertainment acts than I have space to name. We had comedy, as blue as it comes, we had a firework display fit to rival anything you'll see on New Year's Eve. We had it all and we loved it.

On the Sunday morning Nick Cass was out and about in a car with a loud speaker announcing a Church service. It made me smile, a mobile minaret this was not. I went along to hear Reverend Robert West for three reasons: First he has at great personal risk to himself brought a new aspect to the BNP; second I've grown to like him over the last twelve month; third the Christian part of me that I have neglected for so long needed re-kindling. I wasn't disappointed. That tent was, no pun intended, a God-send. In the congregation were Catholics, Protestants, Chapel folk and evangelicals all bound together by this totally unpretentious man who reached out to us by telling us what we already knew. That the established church leadership is out of touch with the people, so out of touch that they preach to empty pews about accommodating the very 'faith' that seeks to destroy Christianity and Western Civilisation not only in Britain but worldwide. He spoke with knowledge and clarity about how they seek to make the abnormal, normal, and the deadly dangers of it. As the Reverend spoke I thought about Ireland where so many have died over the sectarian issue. Had Ireland had a Robert West years ago how things may have been different.

As the event began drawing to a close, the annual awards were given out. Notable winners were Kevin Hughes who won activist of the year, everyone's thoughts were with Kevin and his family. David Exley won the award for the party's most successful councillor for his dedication to his ward. But the day belonged to Richard Barnbrook who won numerous awards for his hard work which saw 12 councillors elected to Barking and Dagenham council.


Then as sun broke through the clouds and after a splendid kids' parade with Debbie Stafford leading all the youngsters around the arena, it was time for what many had been waiting all weekend - the Chairman's keynote speech. This emotional and rousing speech received rapturous applause and a standing ovation. Before we headed for our homes all over the British Isles we sang Jerusalem, everyone at the RWB is one of the building blocks of that Jerusalem, and when we construct it, we will fulfil that promise made so long ago and build that land fit for heroes. See you at Red, White and Blue 2007!

For the Red, White and Blue in pictures,
see pages 14-15



September 2006


Red, White & Blue 2006





September 2006

Red, White & Blue 2006



Pictures by Colin Goodgroves & Dale Chester



Straws in the Wind

» **"You can't fight a tsunami." That was the gloomy conclusion of defeated Labour candidate, Jeffrey Porter, commenting on the huge scale of the British National Party victory in local elections, May 2006. Academic studies, opinion polls and even the controlled media are indicating further surges in support for the BNP" says Geoff Newton.**

» One of the most gratifying aspects of this year's local elections, as the sheer scale of the BNP victory unfolded, was the hysterical reaction of assorted political and media luvvies in the controlled press. 'Why do they get elected?' wailed Nick Cohen rhetorically in the *Grauniad*. One can visualise him weeping into his guacamole as he pondered the betrayal by 'his' working class.

The controlled media have developed a set of stock responses to the BNP since the party was formed in 1982. When we were a tiny movement of just a few hundred members, they totally ignored us (it was easy to do this before the Internet). Occasionally a local paper would mention us if we leafleted or held a meeting in the vicinity - always pushing the line that 'BNP outsiders' had 'tried to gain a local foothold' but were 'decisively rejected' or 'chased out of town' by stalwart locals.


In 2002 when the BNP won three council seats in Burnley, the official line was modified. Burnley was caused by 'special local circumstances'. Local Establishment politicians had been complacent, but had now learned their lesson. It would not be repeated elsewhere.

But it was repeated, first in by-elections and then in the full local government elections the following year. By 2004 the BNP held 20 seats and had spread from the North West into the South East and the West Midlands. For a while, the controlled press clung to the 'local phenomenon' argument, i.e. that the BNP was 'cleverly exploiting local race relations problems in certain areas.' In addition, the party was 'deceiving' voters with 'lies'.

CHANGE OF GEAR

The stunning results in the 2006 local elections have forced yet another change of gear by the Establishment media. The claims of BNP 'extremism' and 'lies' simply do not accord with the public's experience of genuine BNP canvassers, councillors and activists. This is what sociologists call 'dissonance' - where official propaganda does not agree with the reality experienced by ordinary people.

Therefore, the media (and increasingly, establishment politicians such as the CRE's Trevor Phillips) are now saying that some of the BNP's views on multiculturalism were right all along, but only the existing Establishment can be trusted to sort out the mess. Not by stopping



immigration of course, but by 're-education', 'forced integration' etc. The BNP remains much too 'dangerous' or radical to be allowed to replace the existing Establishment.

There is growing evidence, however, that the public are increasingly sympathetic, not only to the BNP's message, but to the messenger itself. This is borne out by a research report on the BNP's potential vote, jointly produced by the Joseph Rowntree Charitable Trust (University College London) and Democratic Audit (University of Essex), published in April 2006 i.e. just a month before the BNP breakthrough in May 2006.

FOCUS GROUPS

According to the report, based on focus groups and opinion poll research:

"...No far right party has ever registered the electoral successes of the BNP and the party is in a strong position to take advantage of this potential support in forthcoming elections."

The report predicts 18 per cent of voters nationally, and 25 per cent in London, were now ready to vote BNP. These figures match closely our actual results in the following month's local elections.

The report says it was Nick Griffin's reforms of the party which made it electable. Writing about recent election results (but before this year's big breakthrough), the report's authors stated:

"The election results signal that the party has built up a significant electoral base and illustrate the potential for it to be even more successful in future elections...for the first time in its history, the party stands on the brink of entering the political mainstream"

The report goes on to state:

"One significant sign of the party's political sophistication lies in its website...certainly their site for the [2005] general election was as professional as that of many of other large political parties..."

The Rowntree report demolishes several of the myths



about the BNP which the controlled media have been pumping out for years:

Myth no.1: "BNP votes are due to poverty and ignorance." Not true, says the report. Most BNP voters are middle class and skilled working class - social groups C1, C2 and D, not social group E.

Myth no.2: "BNP victories are only in local areas. They don't have national support." Not true. "...the rise in BNP support is a national phenomenon, significant and widespread across several English regions, rather than a change restricted to a few localities such as the East End of London, parts of Yorkshire and Birmingham."


Myth no.3: "BNP candidates only win through low turnout, when Lib/Lab/Con supporters don't bother to vote." Not true. "We found there was no relationship between a low turnout and BNP success in elections across all wards." In fact BNP candidates normally win on record high turnouts.

Myth no.4: "Most BNP voters are ex-Labour." Not true says the report. "Our analysis also suggests that the BNP gains its support from all three of the main parties and not just from Labour; and in fact that it gains most from Conservatives and least from Labour."

Myth no.5: "People only vote BNP as a protest they don't really know what the party stands for." Commenting on the Rowntree report in an article entitled 'Who votes BNP?' Arun Kundnani says: "The bad news for those opposed to the BNP is that support for the party is not based on apathy and general disgruntlement but positive endorsement for their policies..."

The Rowntree report was written by a bunch of left-leaning academics, and as such it is larded with rather childish sneers, but nevertheless makes interesting reading as a detailed look into who is currently voting for us and why. Check it out at:

<http://www.democraticaudit.com/download/breaking-news/BNP-Full-Report.pdf>



Joseph Rowntree

There are a number of other straws in the wind regarding the BNP's electoral potential. Just a fortnight before the party's historic breakthrough on the 4th May 2006, a Yougov poll for Sky News indicated 55 per cent of the electorate supported BNP ideas and 49 per cent still supported them even when told they were BNP policies. Two years earlier, the same polling organisation put support for our policies on 25 per cent with 14 per cent prepared to support the party.

We have been told constantly by the controlled media that immigration is not a major issue for the electorate, compared with, say, the National Health Service or the economy. But there is clear evidence that the immigration disaster is now hurting the whole country, not just local areas. In the British Social Attitudes Survey of 2003, 74 per cent said immigration should be reduced - up 9 points on the same survey in 1995. The very fact that the controlled media and politicians are now forced to talk publicly about what was once a taboo subject, reveals that voters are no longer prepared to ignore the situation.

CHALLENGE

The challenge for the BNP is to ensure that the vast majority of the electorate which supports our policies translate this into support for our party. The fact that 25 per cent would 'consider' voting for us or 49 per cent say they agree with us does not automatically translate into local (let alone national) votes on polling day. However, the 'credibility gap' between 55 per cent supporting our policies and 49 per cent remaining convinced even when they know these policies are ours, is not that large. All we need to do is assure the public that the BNP can be a sensible and efficient vehicle for the change they so desperately want - not just the messenger or articulator of that change.

The party faces a number of hurdles in this respect, ranging from a powerful and totally hostile controlled media, a cynical (but for many voters, plausible) Conservative Party, bogus safety valve 'patriots' such as UKIP, massive Government-sponsored electoral fraud, physical intimidation and the threat of loss of employment for BNP activists.

In this context, Operation White Vote would be useful if we could persuade large numbers of disempowered whites from social group E (i.e. the large sink estates) to apply for postal rather than ordinary votes. That way it would be much easier for us to persuade them to vote. This is what the Government is doing with its ethnic voters - it can make a huge difference.

The important point is that the BNP has been growing almost exponentially as an electoral force since Nick Griffin's election as Chairman in late 1999. The fact that one in five electors voted for us in May 2006, means we are already half way there - a vote of double this would translate into a landslide in parliamentary terms. We are now clearly doing things right in terms of local campaigning, and simply need to keep expanding the numbers of activists and candidates to start new 'bushfires' all over the country. There will no doubt be disappointments as well as triumphs on the road to power, but be assured that our enemies are now as certain as we are of an ultimate BNP victory.

The Origins of the Anglo-Saxons

» Reviewed by Steve Blake

» I find it ironic that the further in chronological terms we move away from our past the more we sometimes know about it.

A good example is that of the Victorian historians who claimed the Viking raiders from Scandinavia in the 9th and 10th centuries wore metal helmets decorated with wings or horns. These scholars were 150 years closer to their Norse forebears but we know from a greater understanding of archaeology that the winged and horned helmets were just a fantasy. The Victorians also had the strange notion that Stonehenge was built by the Druids; an impossibility - as we now know the great stone circle was erected some 2,500 years before the appearance of the first Iron Age Druid.

There has been a long debate involving many disciplines: Genetics; linguistics; archaeology; anthropology and history over whether the widespread changes which took place in mainland Britain during the 5th and 6th century were a result of major immigration and settlement of newcomers from the near continent or a result of cultural change brought about by a small, powerful and well organised warrior elite. There are almost no documentary sources extant from that period and more than a little clever detective work is needed to piece together a picture of what happened.


SO JUST WHO ARE THE ENGLISH?

Henson sets out to answer this perplexing question, a question which each of us who understands the dangers and threats posed to our very survival by world forces seemingly beyond our control should do well to pose and at least attempt to answer and understand.

This is not a book about the history of what was to become known as England in the 5th and 6th centuries. A timeline of events spanning the two hundred or so years 406-634 is squeezed in as a twelve page Appendix. The bulk of the book deals with the concept of ethnicity as a determining factor in the understanding of history.

Ethnicity is not the same as race which is a strictly biological term; ethnicity is a combination of that fundamental biology as well as language, religion, custom, social behaviour, shared experience through periods of feast and famine, kinship, and bonding at the psychological and spiritual level. While ethnic groups may undergo change over any one or more of these factors it is not easy or painless, although theoretically possible, for one individual to switch their entire ethnicity.

Henson explores the archaeological and linguistic evidence for two distinct settlement patterns of people of continental Germanic origin. In addition to the very few documentary sources that have survived 1500 years Henson uses this evidence to create a picture of early (4th



century) Germanic settlement even before the Romans had departed from British shores, mostly lone adult male warriors. These Germans were very much outsiders, not trusted by the Romano-British elite, but another picture begins to emerge from the mid 5th century of entire families setting up homes in eastern England when roles were reversed, now the native Briton is the underling in fact the word Welsh comes from the Saxon for an "outsider", a "foreigner" and was synonymous with slave status.

Another question which Henson tries to answer is the fate of the native Britons. Unlike the arrival of British colonists in the vast and mostly empty continent of Australia in the 18th century the British Isles were by comparison densely populated, albeit by about 2 million souls. Although there is some evidence of skirmishes and some evidence of migration of Britons to France (Brittany) following the legendary battle of Badon, (precise date and location unknown) there is little to support claims by latter day Celtic fundamentalists of any large scale slaughter or forced internal migration of displaced native Britons.

The native Britons were not murdered wholesale nor did they move in large numbers, they may have been used as slaves by the Germanic settlers but they - or at least their women - survived and the genes of modern English folk bear witness to a fusion of native Briton and Germanic colonist.

For those of us who describe ourselves as English we must seek out and understand just what being English actually means. Henson doesn't provide all that we need to know but goes some a long way to help us in our quest.

£20 inc p&p

Available from Excalibur, see opposite page for details

England is for life, Not just for Football

Buy online at www.bnp.org.uk or alternatively
send a cheque made payable to 'Excalibur' to
Excalibur, PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW.



England Car Sticker


England is for life...
...not just for football.

single sticker: £1.50 (inc. p&p)
bulk order of 10: £5.00 (post free)
bulk order of 100: £25 (post free)

England T-Shirt

T-shirts available in sizes
s-xxl (please specify size
when ordering).

£12 (inc p&p)



Rune Vests

£12 (inc p&p)

'BNP' in runic letters with Anglo-Saxon helmet logo.

Men's vests are blue and are available in sizes s-xxl. (please specify size when ordering)

Ladies' vests are available in small (colour green), large (colour black) and extra large (colour black). Please specify size when ordering. (These ladies' vests are currently sold out in medium.)



John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

September 2006

News Commentary

Make Way For Forced Integration

Our Government has finally woken up to the fallacies of multiculturalism, which the BNP has been exposing since its foundation. It is undoubtedly the refusal of the Muslim community to integrate into a liberal dream of a racial mish-mash society that has forced them to admit that multiculturalism, with its residual ethnic tension, is just not working. To suggest what they intend to replace it with, New Labour put up the well-known expert on ethnic and cultural matters, Ruth Kelly, who following her 'triumph' as Education minister was recently appointed as Communities Secretary. She announced that the Government is to set up a Commission on Integration and Cohesion which will report to Parliament next June on how it thinks the problems associated with an ever increasing multiracial society can be overcome.

After the expenditure of several million pounds and the spawning of many public-funded debates, this Commission will produce an unreadable report that only a few will attempt to see through to the end. But beneath the waffle the message will be: "If you won't play nicely together, then we'll have to make you!" The plan is for forced integration so that the traditional British identity is destroyed.

This is what Commission 'Chair' Darra Singh is getting at when he says that "it need to work in rural Gloucestershire as well as northern mill towns... integration is not just an issue for ethnic minorities". It is also what Ruth Kelly meant when she said that the Commission "must meet challenges head on" and be "a catalyst for even faster change".

Far from taking heart at hints of a new realism among the social engineering liberal 'elite', the 'ordinary', overtaxed, disenfranchised, utterly ignored English, Scots, Welsh and Irish need to understand that the threat to our identities, our tax bills and our children's birthright has just got a whole lot worse.

And by the way, David Cameron's party (formerly 'conservatives') has given its full backing to Ruth Kelly's initial proposals to deal with the failure of largely voluntary multiculturalism by forcing integration upon unwilling communities.

Bulgaria Opens Back Door to UK

Is it sheer incompetence or is it treachery that governs New Labour's acquiescence to the demands of the EU commissars; demands that are rarely criticised by the Tories or the Lib-Dems? For example, when Poland and other East European states joined the EU in May 2004, unlike most other member states our Government placed no restrictions on the entry of their nationals and told us that it would amount to only 13,000 or so. In the event it turned out to be 450,000 registering for work, with at least another 150,000 coming to Britain to work in the black economy.

We recognise that Poles are fellow Europeans and

that several BNP members and many BNP voters are descendants of Polish servicemen who fought alongside our own servicemen during the last war and stayed here afterwards rather than to go back to the Communist tyranny that held sway in Eastern Europe. However, the issue is that, through no fault of the Poles, Britain is full up and all additions, whether black, pink, brown or white all add a further strain on our resources and our infrastructure. This is apart from the fact that unemployment in Britain (even using Labour's cooked figures) is nearing two million and is the highest for a decade.

Now comes the news, revealed in a report in the *Sunday Telegraph*, 13.8.06, that Bulgaria is already giving away passports to tens of thousands of non-European Union foreigners who will be able to work in Britain when Bulgaria and Romania join the EU next January. The report says that Bulgaria's already lax procedures for issuing citizenship are being exploited by corrupt officials who agree to fast-track passports for less than £200, "adding to existing fears in the United Kingdom and Western Europe about the large number of migrants, some with criminal records, expected to arrive from Romania and Bulgaria."

Some Labour MPs, as with other spokesmen of the Lib-Lab-Con establishment, are muttering about the necessity to restrict the number of Bulgarians and Romanians, with their large work-shy, criminally-inclined gypsy element, who can come here. The total from these two countries could also reach 600,000. However, very few will point out that although countries such as France and Germany may be restricting East European migrant numbers at present, the EU's recently passed Freedom of Movement Directive will make all attempts at restrictions illegal. Like most people, many of our MPs are not even aware of this latest EU directive.

There is only one answer to this growing problem. It is not to renegotiate our membership of the EU, as favoured by some officials of UKIP, but to withdraw from this pernicious organisation completely.

The Swamping of Britain

Almost every newspaper, and even the occasional TV pundit, is now informing us of the problems being created by mass immigration. Four years ago when it first began issuing reliable statistics on immigration, the Migrationwatch UK organisation, chaired by Sir Andrew Green, was condemned as being racist by the liberal-left intelligentsia and embryo Notting Hill Cameroons for saying there would be two million extra immigrants over the next ten years. With six years still to go we are almost three-quarters of the way there. Polish plumbers, Latvian labourers and Czech check-out girls are the least of our problem, because according to the Home Office's own statistics only 12 per cent of immigrants are from mainland Europe. Eight per cent are from 'The Americas' (includes West Indians and black Brazilians);

5 per cent the Middle East, and 71 per cent are Afro-Asian.

Whilst we are only too pleased to acknowledge the work done by Migrationwatch in gathering reliable statistics on the swamping of Britain, you will notice that having got over the shock of Dagenham and Barking back in May, the media is now going out of its way to ignore the *only* political party, the BNP, that calls for a complete halt to all further immigration. What is more, it has been doing so since its foundation 24 years ago. And if by painting "Keep Britain White" on walls in 1951 eventually came to indicate the ultimate in political failure it must also mean that your writer is entitled to some recognition for prescience of the greatest threat to face this country since the imminent German invasion of 1940. As we know to our cost, the invaders did not come wearing steel helmets and jackboots but waving bits of paper saying "British subjects" and later chanting the mantra of "Asylum, Asylum".

It is the duty of BNP members and supporters to impress upon friends, relatives, work colleagues, that it is only BNP policy that can do anything effective about the swamping of Britain by the Third World. It was caused by the liberal internationalist and globalist policies pursued by all three main parties and whose thinking is still endemic amongst UKIP leaders. And the actions, or rather inactions, of past Tory governments are as much to blame as New and Old Labour, and will certainly not be improved by the clueless Cameron.

We must also stress that to say "I agree with the BNP's stand on immigration and the EU but I will not vote for them because they are too extreme" is the action of a coward, who is more concerned with perceived respectability than the future of his or her children. The old parties and their media friends they control may no longer call us "fascists" and "Nazis", but it is in their interests, as they lose more and more votes to the BNP, to keep up the campaign of gross exaggerations and downright lies to present us as extremists. Alternatively, they will switch to a phase (as at present) when it is best not to mention the BNP at all, hoping we might fade away. No chance!

Some Effects of Immigration

A corrupt immigration officer boasted to *The Sun* that he has helped 200 bogus asylum seekers enter Britain for cash. Senior Home Office worker, Zimbabwe-born Joseph Dzumbira bragged to an undercover reporter that he could get anyone refugee status for up to £2,000. His biggest scam is pretending people of other nationalities are "Zim" cases - Zimbabweans threatened with arrest in their homeland.

Earlier this year *The Sun* exposed a sex-for-visas racket at the same immigration office of the Home Office.

A Home Office paper from Home Office minister Joan Ryan privately warns that 45,000 'undesirable' immigrants from Romania and Bulgaria could legally be allowed to live in Britain next year. Most of them are suspected of having criminal associations or posing a security risk.

A clandestine passage from France to Britain now charged by migrant smugglers is down to just £150 (Serious Organised Crime Agency). It punctures the claims made by the Government about its success in restoring control of our borders.

The number of legal immigrants to the UK who have applied quite legitimately for a national insurance number which will enable them to work hit 662,000, an increase of 223,000 (51%) between 2004/05 and 2005/6.

Maverick Labour MP Frank Field (31.7.06) has called for an end to mass immigration (it might help the BNP, he added), and likened it to a revolution on a par with Stalin's forced migration of the Ukraine.

Race Relations chief Trevor Phillips has demanded that leading universities be forced by law to accept more students from ethnic minorities. He said that quotas should be imposed on admissions to boost the non-white student intake.

This followed his call at the end of June for the law to be changed so that police can discriminate in favour of hiring blacks and Muslims.

Finally, let it also be noted that as millions of Afro-Asians and East Europeans pour into Britain, the number of native-born Britons leaving to live in Australia, France or Spain now exceeds 359,000 a year, thus bringing closer the day when whites in our country will be in a minority. Only an idiot can say: "I will leave off voting for the BNP until they are more respectable".

Marxist Bosses Put Unions in the Red

Amicus, Unison, and the Communication Workers Union spent many thousand pounds of their members' contributions in attacks on the BNP in the General Election last year and in this year's municipal elections. Yet it is now revealed in a report in the London *Evening Standard*, 3/8/06, that almost all of the major trade unions are struggling with colossal debts. Amicus has a debt of £19 million, Unison (the public sector workers' union) has a loss of £7.7m and the Transport and General Workers' Union lost £1.2 million last year. The Communication Workers Union made a loss of £250,000.

Despite the fact that the BNP has never attacked the principle of trade unionism, and only supported it, the ultra-leftists who control almost every Union have let their Marxist beliefs in attacking all forms of nationalism with lies and deceit take precedence over their members' true interests. Our advice to readers who are trade union members is to stay there, attend meetings, use your vote and get rid of the Marxist bosses. They have only obtained their positions of power because a majority of union members do not even bother to exercise their vote.

With record debts of £27 million itself and having to cut back on its £12.8 million wages bill, the Labour Party relies heavily on unions for revenue, which currently is an annual £8 million contribution. There is now talk, according to the *Evening Standard* report, of unions receiving money given to Labour from the taxpayer through the union 'modernisation' fund. Really?

Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 1WT, England. E-mail: identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk

LETTER OF THE MONTH

>> A British Rights Act

Following the letter in ID No.68 from Kenny Smith I would add that the European Union must, by its nature, fall apart when the creators of the present credit bubble go bust, leaving chaos on a global scale.

We must act now to ensure that the culprits do not regain control of our affairs And I suggest that we work for Home Rule by passing an Act of Parliament in the following form:

An Act for the Protection of the British Peoples and their culture from the destructive effects of alien dictatorship.

Whereas internationalist forces have contrived by various means to destroy the Sovereignty, Freedom and Well-being of the indigenous peoples of Great Britain and its Dependencies, it is hereby enacted that henceforth the sole fount of law and honour governing the said peoples shall be the House of Commons in plenary session.

J.D.Bridgeman
Kirklees

>> A First For Redditch

The end of July saw the first BNP meeting to be held in Redditch, the venue being a local family public house where we were given a very friendly welcome. A good turnout for this first meeting gave a warm welcome to several guest speakers including David Enderby, BNP Councillor for Winyates Ward, Redditch, Simon Darby, Martin Roberts and Karl Newman, who did a fantastic job as host for the evening.

Many issues were covered from local to national level and there were quite a few residents present with issues of their own that they felt strongly about and this was the perfect opportunity for them to let their concerns be noted.

This meeting proved to all present that the BNP is a serious party that is now on the rise and appealing to every British citizen who wants to keep their British identity and British way of life. With more meetings like this we hope to attract more support from the people out there who actually want to do something in the hope of changing the way this country is being run, then the BNP

will get stronger and stronger. Here's to the next meeting.

Spike Gorman
Redditch

>> We Will Be An Ethnic Minority

The last time Britain was officially invaded was in 1066 by William the Conqueror and his Normans. Since 1948 we have been under siege by peoples from all round the world landing on our shores in a slow motion invasion. A thousand years on from that official invasion, i.e. by 2066, we could well be an 'Ethnic Minority' in our own land, with England becoming an Islamic state, unless firm action is taken from now onwards.

In such circumstances, tolerance or understanding of others would not exist, and certainly not the joke of a multicultural society which is supposed to answer all our problems. It could well be that we are told: "Do it our way. Or get out or die."

David Blunkett as Home Secretary said "No upper limit on immigration". Now Tony Blair says "No limit on the population". Why

don't the old politicians care about our country any more? However, when a failed New Labour minister like Frank Field expresses public concern over the immigration problem and stresses that he hopes the people would not support the BNP, it shows that things are certainly changing in our favour.

D. S. Boyes
Leeds

>> Where's Our Human Rights?

How about using the enemy's own artillery against them? For example, the BNP could bring a case against the *de facto* UK Government under 'Human Rights' legislation for denying us the right to live amongst our own people and/or the right to fly our national flag as and when we want to. Or we could ask for the right to see the word 'Christmas' displayed in our high streets and so on.

We should say to politically correct politicians and judiciary, "You've given the world Human Rights - OK. I will have some now, or will you deny me *my* Human Rights?"

How about questioning the legality of the traitor Heath's signature to the Common Market after he lied to the electorate?

Kevin O'Neil
Waltham Cross

>> Historic Custom Abandoned

In a few minutes on a recent Tuesday afternoon 1,400 years of British history was junked. The Lord Chancellor rose from the woosack in the House of Lords and a new Lords' Speaker took his place. She is 57-year-old Baroness Hayman, a woman handed a place in the Lords in 1979 when she lost her seat as an MP after just five years.

Why such an unremarkable individual should have been elected

to the new post and paid £140,000 a year defies understanding! Why the job was created is easier to explain.

Lord Falconer, the present Lord Chancellor, could not be bothered to dress up in a frock coat and wig to oversee the afternoon debates. So it was decided that a role stretching back to 605 AD could simply be abandoned!

Dr Louisa Beckett-Smith
Halesowen

>> More BBC Bias

Following the publication of the BBC Enquiry Desk number (08700100222) in Speakers' Corner, I telephoned to complain about Radio 4's *Today* programme's biased coverage during the local elections. I was particularly incensed following a female's interview with Richard Barnbrook.

"Did you write to the council to get the facts?", she hammered. "No", replied Councillor Barnbrook. "Well I did... and you are wrong!", she said.

As I told the BBC she was simply out to belittle our success. My supporting evidence regarding the BBC's criticism of the BNP's success was that we have frequently written to government bodies, councils, police, Health & Education, to name but a few, asking for facts quoting the Freedom of Information Act. We only receive dismissive replies stating that the subject is not covered by the Act.

However, valuable information we do receive is when we are out canvassing and talking to the electorate.

Robert Walker
Huddersfield

>> Ethnic Liason

With the UK population now including some 2 million Hindus and Sikhs, probably 3 million Muslims (the Establishment figure of 1.6 million is long out of date) and 'nation knows' how many Blacks, a reversal is clearly needed, but must be handled delicately.

Certain ethnic groups are clearly a direct threat to our society. With last month's events in mind clearly I refer to the Islamic Crescent horde that seeks to dominate our way of life and

send civilisation back to the dark ages. The indigenous and European (migrant) people of this country clearly recognise this. Additionally, the Hindus and Sikhs, who suffered under Islamic tyranny for hundreds of years, still rightly fear them.

With this in mind I am interested in knowing what is happening with the BNP Ethnic Liaison Committee, which I understand is an organisation of which people from the ethnic minorities who generally support the BNP's views can become members. This would help to discredit racism accusations as well as opening the doors of dialogue with other communities about the threat of Islam.

Tim Hawke
E-mail

We agree, and plans are currently underway to develop the BNP's Ethnic Liaison Committee. It should be noted that membership of the BNP itself is only open to those of indigenous and European stock -
Editor.

>> Removing Illegals

Jack Dromey of the Transport and General Workers' Union, together with other worthies of New Labour, estimates that there are some 500,000 illegal immigrants in the UK and states that it would be impossible to remove this number. Instead, they suggest a general amnesty for these foreign criminals (see *Identity* - July, p.20).

Perhaps Mr Dromey is thinking only of deporting them expensively and in small numbers by air? In 1940, over 300,000 troops were evacuated from the beaches of Dunkirk in a few days, using small ships and boats of all types. I am not suggesting a reverse Operation Dynamo, but why cannot the Government charter ships for deportation runs? Assuming that the illegals can be arrested without infringing their human rights, the UK could be cleared of them within a few months, if not weeks. This in turn would be a warning to others.

Furthermore, if they were deported they could no longer be given National Insurance numbers (yet another fiasco), and be allowed to raid taxpayers' pockets by

receiving tax credits, unemployment benefits and other politically correct giveaways.

P.G.Willis
Devon

>> Black Cabs & 'PC'

The following extracts are from a 'black cab' pal of mine's letter published in his London taxi trade magazine. It gives another example of an alien (P.C. Zahid Malik) 'managing' the Queen's English and directing the natives accordingly.

"P.C. Zahid Malik has been widely reported over his concern at the use of the word 'black' by the Met Police when referring to their crime museum in Old Scotland Yard. May I remind him that the word 'black' has been used in the history of England and its language for over a thousand years. For example, would he be offended by the 'Black' Death or feel insulted by having to read about Edward the Third's son, the 'Black' Prince? In football there would no longer be a Blackburn or Blackpool. In cricket, Kent's ground at Blackheath would have to be renamed Whiteheath.

"We English over many centuries have had to live with, and tolerate, the Scots, Welsh, Irish, and Jews, and they in their turn have had to put up with us, the bloody English! But when push comes to shove, as it has done in the two Great Wars of the last century, we have all come together as one to fight and defeat a common enemy. Today, that enemy is Political Correctness.

"This enemy would stop us (and is indeed succeeding) calling a Welshman 'Taffy', Scotsmen 'Jocks', and Irishmen 'Paddies' We even hear that 'Scousers', 'Geordies', 'Yorkies', 'Brummies' and 'Cockneys' are other words that are unacceptable to the PC brigade.

"Finally, and sadly, I would not be able to take P.C. Zahid, when he comes to London, for a visit to the Met's famous crime museum over Blackfriars Bridge in my classic black London taxi - perhaps Mayor Ken is thinking of renaming it as Ethnicfriars Bridge."

R. Edward
London S. W.



China's Plans for Conquest

» The following chilling speech by Chinese Defence Minister Chi Haotian was first carried on August 12th last year by US broadcaster Hal Turner. It was sent to Identity by Andrew Edwards under its full title of "China's War Plans for Conquest & Extermination of North America".

» The speech by the Chinese Defence Minister is a chilling but coherent plan for war. It explains that China needs more space and resources for its soaring population. It offers a vision of Chinese racial superiority and entitlement to regional, if not world, hegemony. It calls for the conquest of North America, already once conquered by Asians (the Native Indians) and the extermination of at least half of North America's population, preferably by a still-to-be-perfected biological weapon that targets Caucasians.

I've sat on this frightening call for war and genocide for several months. I talked to Hal Turner at the last American Renaissance Conference in Herndon, VA. He said American friends of his had obtained it from dissident Chinese military men in Shanghai. Another old China hand has since confirmed that the speech is widely known in China. It has been published in a few dissident outlets including *Epoch Times*. As usual, the poodle press does nothing but spin more fantasies about the huge profits that might be reaped somewhere in the future through more trade with China. Like the sorry old opium addict, they continue to 'chase the dragon.'

Chi Haotian, China's Minister of Defence and Vice-Chairman of China's Central Military Commission, gave the following speech to his military leadership. In it he spoke openly about China's need for "more

living space" and stated bluntly that the United States, Canada and Australia are the only places large enough to accommodate future Chinese needs. He notes the need for a quick, effective biological attack upon the US to depopulate it as a prelude to conquest, and plainly states that China is working on genetic bio-weapons to kill everyone except 'yellow people'. His chilling remarks boast of a recent survey of the Chinese public wherein upwards of eighty percent (80%) were willing to "shoot and kill women, children and prisoners of war" as part of such an effort.

More frightening was his admission of an ongoing deliberate deception of the US, with China portraying itself as a peaceful business partner, while actually planning to kill "one or two hundred million Americans."

He also notes that, thanks to trade, income from Chinese exports is providing the financing needed to vastly expand Chinese military might in preparation for an attack upon the US which he seems to indicate will occur within "five to ten years."

THE SPEECH

Comrades, I'm very excited today, because the large-scale online survey sina.com that was done for us showed that our next generation is quite promising and our Party's cause will be carried on. In answering the question, "Will you shoot at women, children and

prisoners of war," more than 80 per cent of the respondents answered in the affirmative, exceeding by far our expectations.

Today I'd like to focus on why we asked sina.com to conduct this online survey among our people. My speech today is a sequel to my speech last time, during which I started with a discussion of the issue of the three islands [Taiwan, Diaoyu Islands and the Spratley Islands], mentioned that 20 years of the idyllic theme of 'peace and development' had come to an end, and concluded that modernisation under the sabre is the only option for China's next phase. I also mentioned we have a vital stake overseas.

The central issue of this survey appears to be whether one should shoot at women, children and prisoners of war, but its real significance goes far beyond that. Ostensibly, our intention is mainly to figure out what the Chinese people's attitude towards war is: If these future soldiers do not hesitate to kill even non-combatants, they'll naturally be doubly ready and ruthless in killing combatants. Therefore, the responses to the survey questions may reflect the general attitude people have towards war. ... We wanted to know: If China's global development will necessitate massive deaths in enemy countries, will our people endorse that scenario? Will they be for or against it?

The fact is, our 'development' refers to the great revitalisation of the

Chinese nation, which, of course, is not limited to the land we have now but also includes the whole world.

As everybody knows, according to the views propagated by the Western scholars, humanity as a whole originated from one single mother in Africa. Therefore, no race can claim racial superiority. However, according to the research conducted by most Chinese scholars, the Chinese are different from other races on earth. We did not originate in Africa. Instead, we originated independently in the land of China. Therefore, we can assert that we are the product of cultural roots of more than a million years, civilisation and progress of more than ten thousand years, an ancient nation of five thousand years, and a single Chinese entity of two thousand years. This is the Chinese nation that calls itself, 'descendants of Yan and Huang.'

During our long history, our people have disseminated throughout the Americas and the regions along the Pacific Rim, and they became Indians in the Americas and the East Asian ethnic groups in the South Pacific. We all know that on account of our national superiority, during the thriving and prosperous Tang Dynasty our civilisation was at the peak of the world. We were the centre of the world civilisation, and no other civilisation in the world was comparable to ours.

Later on, because of our complacency, narrow-mindedness, and the self-enclosure of our own country, we were surpassed by Western civilisation, and the centre of the world shifted to the West.

In reviewing history, one may ask: Will the centre of the world civilisation shift back to China? Actually, Comrade Liu Huaqing made similar points in the early 1980s. Based on an historical analysis, he pointed out that the centre of world civilisation is shifting. It shifted from the East to Western Europe and later to the United States; now it is shifting back to the East. Therefore, if we refer to the 19th Century as the British Century, and the 20th century as the American Century, then the 21st Century will be the Chinese Century.

Our Chinese people are wiser than the Germans because, fundamentally, our race is superior to theirs. As a result, we have a longer history, more people, and larger land area. On this basis, our ancestors left us with the two most essential heritages, which are atheism and great unity. It was Confucius, the founder of our Chinese culture, who gave us these heritages. These two heritages determined that we have a stronger ability to survive than the West. That is why the Chinese race has been able to prosper for so long. We are destined 'not to be buried by either heaven or earth' no matter how severe the natural, man-made, and national disasters. This is our advantage.

Take response to war as an example. The reason that the United States remains today is that it has never seen war on its mainland. Once its enemies aim at the mainland, the enemies would have already reached Washington before its congress finishes debating and authorises the president to declare war. But for us, we

don't waste time on these trivial things.

Maybe you have now come to understand why we recently decided to further promulgate atheism. If we let theology from the West into China and empty us from the inside, if we let all Chinese people listen to God and follow God, who will obediently listen to us and follow us? If the common people don't believe Comrade Hu Jintao is a qualified leader, question his authority, and want to monitor him, if the religious followers in our society question why we are leaving God in churches, can our Party continue to rule China?

The first issue is living space. This is the biggest focus of the revitalisation of the Chinese race. In my last speech, I said that the fight over basic living resources (including land and ocean) is the source of the vast majority of wars in history.

This may change in the information age, but not fundamentally. Our per capita resources are much less than those of Germany's back then. In addition, economic development in the last twenty-plus years had a negative impact, and climates are rapidly changing for the worse. Our resources are in very short supply. The environment is severely polluted, especially that of soil, water, and air. Not only our ability to sustain and develop our race, but even its survival is gravely threatened, to a degree much greater than faced Germany back then.

Anybody who has been to Western countries knows that their living space is much better than ours. They have forests alongside the highways, while we hardly have any trees by our streets. Their sky is often blue with white clouds, while our sky is covered with a layer of dark haze. Their tap water is clean enough for drinking, while even our ground water is so polluted that it can't be drunk without filtering. They have few people in the streets, and two or three people can occupy a small residential building; in contrast, our streets are always crawling with people, and several people have to share one room.

Many years ago, there was a book titled *Yellow Catastrophes*. It said that, due to our following the American style of consumption, our limited resources would no longer support the population and society would collapse once our population reaches 1.3 billion. Now our





population has already exceeded this limit, and we are now relying on imports to sustain our nation. It's not that we haven't paid attention to this issue. The Ministry of Land Resources is specialised in this issue.

But the term 'living space' (lebensraum) is too closely related to Nazi Germany. The reason we don't want to discuss this too openly is to avoid the West's association of us with Nazi Germany, which could in turn reinforce the view that China is a threat. Therefore, in our emphasis on He Xin's new theory, 'Human rights are just living rights,' we only talk about 'living', but not 'space', so as to avoid using the term 'living space'. From the perspective of history, the reason that China is faced with the issue of living space is because Western countries have developed ahead of Eastern countries. Western countries established colonies all around the world, therefore giving themselves an advantage on the issue of living space. To solve this problem, we must lead the Chinese people outside of China, so that they could develop outside of China.

THE ISSUE OF AMERICA

Would the United States allow us to go out to gain new living space? First, if the United States is firm in blocking us, it is hard for us to do anything significant to Taiwan and some other countries! Second, even if we could snatch some land from Taiwan, Vietnam, India, or even Japan, how much more living space can we get? Very trivial! Only countries like the United States, Canada and Australia have the vast land to serve our need for mass colonisation.

Therefore, solving the 'issue of America' is the key to solving all other issues. First, this makes it possible for us to have many people migrate there and even establish another China under the same leadership of the CCP (Chinese Communist Party). America was originally discovered by the ancestors of the yellow race, but Columbus gave credit to the White race. We, the descendants of the Chinese nation are entitled to the possession of the land!

It is historical destiny that China and United States will come into unavoidable confrontation on a narrow path and fight ... In the long run, the

AMERICA'S MIDWEST - plenty of grain and living space for the Chinese?



relationship of China and the United States is one of a life-and-death struggle. ... Of course, right now it is not the time to openly break up with them yet. Our reform and opening to the outside world still rely on their capital and technology. We still need America. Therefore, we must do everything we can to promote our relationship with America, learn from America in all aspects and use America as an example to reconstruct our country.

Only by using special means to 'clean up' America will we be able to lead the Chinese people there. ... Only by using non-destructive weapons that can kill many people will we be able to reserve America for ourselves. There has been rapid development of modern biological technology, and new bio weapons have been invented one after another. Of course we have not been idle; in the past years we have seized the opportunity to master weapons of this kind. We are capable of achieving our purpose of 'cleaning up' America all of a sudden. When Comrade Xiaoping was still with us, the Party Central Committee had the perspicacity to make the right decision not to develop aircraft carrier groups and focus instead on developing lethal weapons that can eliminate mass populations of the enemy country.

Biological weapons are unprecedented in their ruthlessness, but if the Americans do not die then the Chinese have to die. If the Chinese people are strapped to the present land, a total societal collapse is bound to take

place. According to the computation of the author of *Yellow Peril*, more than half of the Chinese will die, and that figure would be more than 800 million people! Just after the liberation, our yellow land supported nearly 500 million people, while today the official figure is more than 1.3 billion. This yellow land has reached the limit of its capacity. One day, who knows how soon it will come, the great collapse will occur any time and more than half of the population will have to go.

It is indeed brutal to kill one or two hundred million Americans. But that is the only path that will secure a Chinese century, a century in which the CCP leads the world. We, as revolutionary humanitarians, do not want deaths. But if history confronts us with a choice between deaths of Chinese and those of Americans, we'd have to pick the latter, as, for us, it is more important to safeguard the lives of the Chinese people and the life of our Party.

The last problem I want to talk about is of firmly seizing the preparations for military battle. The central committee believes, as long as we resolve the United States problem at one blow, our domestic problems will all be readily solved. Therefore, our military battle preparation appears to aim at Taiwan, but in fact is aimed at the United States, and the preparation is far beyond the scope of attacking aircraft carriers or satellites. Marxism pointed out that violence is the midwife for the birth of the new society. Therefore war is the midwife for the birth of China's century.

» Ian Buckley reminds us of:

William Wyllie - a Great Maritime Artist

» Today is the era of British humiliation at sea. Off Beirut, the Royal Navy has to ask permission from an aggressive nation before beginning evacuations. Whatever would Nelson or Drake have thought of that? Likewise, our merchant fleet has all but vanished, even though it amounted to almost 10 per cent of world tonnage as comparatively recently as 1979. So it is quite a relief to return to an age of superlatives, when Britain had the largest Navy, the largest shipbuilding industry and the largest merchant fleet, all now gone due to criminal or incompetent politicians. This was the naval era that William Wyllie recorded in his oils and water colours.

Wyllie, whose father and two brothers were also artists, was born in 1851. At the early age of 17, he had his first picture exhibited at the Royal Academy, a work entitled *Dover Castle and Town*. A year later, he won the Turner Medal for *Dawn after Storm*. The Wyllie family had long spent part of the year in France, and William produced many water colours of the Northern French coast, but by the beginning of the 1880s his subject matter became more specifically English.

He explored the Thames in his floating studio *The Ladybird*, studying the life of the great waterway. For his time, William Wyllie painted original subjects, producing studies of gas works and dockers. In 1884, he held an exhibition called the *The Tidal Thames* at the Fine Art Society. The exhibition was well received, with critics referring to his great technical skill. Wyllie was a prolific artist, with over 8,000 works to his credit - from grand oil paintings to small sketches.

In 1889, William Wyllie was invited aboard the Royal Navy ship *Black Prince* for manoeuvres; as a result of this experience he held an exhibition entitled *The Queen's Navy*. Besides his small-boat travel around Britain, Wyllie went on cruises further afield, including Norway and the West Indies. He sometimes paid for his passage by selling water colours painted during the voyage to other passengers.

During World War One, William Wyllie went to sea with



Southwark Bridge by William Wyllie

the Royal Navy, travelling on vessels ranging from battleships to motor launches. This enabled him to produce a complete record of the British Grand Fleet. His illustrations were used for two books on the naval war: *Sea Fights of the Great War* and *More Sea Fights of the Great War*.


GREAT IDEA

Wyllie's last great work was the Trafalgar Panorama, begun in his eightieth year. This work was not a commissioned piece: in fact the whole idea for the *Panorama of the Battle of Trafalgar* was Wyllie's own, in order to raise money for the preservation of Nelson's flagship and the construction of a proper museum. His daughter Aileen assisted her father with the painting of the massive 12 x 42 foot canvas. The artist had been involved in the campaign to preserve the *Victory* since the early 1920s. The completed Panorama was unveiled by George V in 1930, and was shortly after viewed by around 50,000 people.

Today, the Trafalgar Panorama is kept in the Royal Naval Museum in Portsmouth and is well worth a visit. It is a work of art in complete contrast to the meretricious examples of pseudo-art favoured by critics, which always make me think of three words: 'Emperor', 'new' and 'clothes'.

Wyllie died a year later: during his funeral the naval squadron at Portsmouth dipped its flags as the cutter made its way to the Norman church at Porchester.

A panorama of the Thames by William Wyllie




Multiculturalism Has Failed

Finally the Establishment has admitted that multiculturalism is not working. The British National Party has been saying this now for over 20 years, but it's taken the deaths of dozens of innocent people, riots on our streets and increasing racial tension to wake up the ivory tower liberals in Westminster.

Although they're admitting that the multicultural experiment is failing their solution is far different to ours - Ruth Kelly (pictured right) is to head up a new government commission, the purpose of which will be to find ways of forcing communities to integrate.

Even though they're finally agreeing with us, our fight isn't over yet. We now need to get the power to dismantle this multicultural time bomb. To do this we need to build an effective organisation, and that requires money.



Yes! I want to help the BNP build a successful power winning organisation that will dismantles the multicultural time bomb. I enclose

☐

£10

☐

£25

☐

£1000

☐

£50

☐

£100

☐

Other:

Name:

Address:

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less

Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £17.50 ☐ £35 ☐

Overseas air mail Europe £23.20 ☐ £46.40 ☐

Overseas air mail Middle East, North and South America £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Overseas air mail Australasia, Far East £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Please Note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to PO Box 87, Ossett, Wakefield, WF5 8WN. You can subscribe online at www.bnp.org.uk. Photocopies can be used.

Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £17; 15 - £24; 20 - £31; 25 - £38; 30 - £44; 40 - £58; 50 - £71; 60 - £84;
80 - £110; 100 - £135

Postage - £2.15 for 5; £3.90 for 10; £7.75 for 15 - 25; £8.80 for 30 - 40;
£10.00 for 50; £10.80 for 60; £12.55 for 80-100



